# The World Health Organization Classification of Odontogenic Lesions: A Summary of the Changes of the 2022 (5<sup>th</sup>) Edition

# Merva SOLUK-TEKKESIN<sup>1</sup> D, John M. WRIGHT<sup>2</sup> D

<sup>1</sup>Department of Tumor Pathology, Institute of Oncology, Istanbul University, ISTANBUL, TURKEY <sup>2</sup>Department of Diagnostic Sciences, School of Dentistry, Texas A&M University, DALLAS, TX, USA

#### ABSTRACT

The 5th edition of the World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Head and Neck Tumors opened to online access in March 2022. This edition is conceptually similar to the previous classification of odontogenic lesions. The only newly defined entity in odontogenic lesions is *adenoid ameloblastoma*, which is classified under benign epithelial odontogenic tumors. While not odontogenic, the *surgical ciliated cyst* is a new entry to the cyst classification of the jaws. In other respects, a very important change was made in the new blue books that added 'essential and desirable diagnostic criteria' for each entity to highlight the features considered indispensable for diagnosis. In this article, we review the *odontogenic tumors* and *cysts of the jaw* sections of the Odontogenic and Maxillofacial Bone Tumors Chapter, outlining changes from the 2017 WHO classification and summarizing the essential diagnostic criteria and new developments.

Keywords: WHO classification, Odontogenic cysts, Odontogenic tumors, Adenoid ameloblastoma, Surgical ciliated cyst

#### INTRODUCTION

The new 5th edition of the "World Health Organization (WHO) Classification of Head and Neck Tumours" is available in a convenient digital format for the first time and opened to online access in March 2022 (1). Actually, this is the first time that the WHO Classification of Tumours Series presents the authoritative content of the tumor classification book series in a digital format. This online version allows the user to access the book anytime and anywhere with electronic devices. Other significant changes made for the first time in the WHO series include the availability of at least one whole slide imaging to significantly improve users' appreciation of the histologic spectrum of each lesion. Additionally, the addition of essential and desirable diagnostic criteria should improve the user's ability to interpret and diagnose this area of pathology.

The 2022 5<sup>th</sup> edition is not conceptually very different from the previous 2017 classification of odontogenic lesions. The odontogenic tumor classification, like earlier editions, is mainly divided into two categories, based on biologic behavior, as malignant and benign. Unlike past editions where malignant odontogenic tumors were discussed first, in the current edition, the odontogenic tumors are still organized by tumor behavior, but the malignant tumors are placed last. Benign tumors are classified into three major categories according to their histogenetic origin; epithelial, mesenchymal and mixed types. The only new entity added to benign epithelial tumors is adenoid ameloblastoma (2). Additionally, subtypes of certain odontogenic tumors and odontogenic cysts are more clearly defined and explained. Some challenging aspects of the 2017 classification still remain uncertain, controversial and debatable, such as the classification of metastasizing ameloblastoma, ameloblastic fibroodontoma/dentinoma, and the mural type of unicystic ameloblastoma (3, 4). The odontogenic cyst classification, which was removed in the 2005 3rd edition and added in 2017 4th edition, continues in the new edition with the same entities. Surgical ciliated cyst, not a new entity but new to the classification, has been added to cysts of the jaws.

The aim of this review is to discuss updates in the new 2022 WHO odontogenic lesions classification, outlining changes from the 2017 WHO classification and summarizing the essential diagnostic criteria and current molecular advances. Although not an odontogenic cyst, we will also emphasize the new entry of surgical ciliated cysts to the cyst classification of the jaws and nasopalatine duct cyst. Table I summarizes the current classification of odontogenic tumors and cysts of the jaws (1).

(Turk Patoloji Derg 2022, 38:168-184)	Correspondence: Merva SOLUK TEKKESIN
Received : 24.04.2022 Accepted : 25.04.2022	Department of Tumor Pathology, Institute of Oncology, Istanbul University, ISTANBUL, TURKEY
	E-mail: msoluk@istanbul.edu.tr Phone: +90 532 748 70 57

168 Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). This is an open-access article published by Federation of Turkish Pathology Societies under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium or format, provided the original work is properly cited. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

**Table I:** 2022 WHO classification of odontogenic tumors and cysts of the jaws.

ODONTOGENIC TUMOURS
Benign epithelial odontogenic tumours
Adenomatoid odontogenic tumour
Squamous odontogenic tumour
Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumour
Ameloblastoma, unicystic
Ameloblastoma, extraosseous/peripheral
Ameloblastoma, conventional
Adenoid ameloblastoma
Metastasizing ameloblastoma
Benign mixed epithelial & mesenchymal odontogenic
tumours
Odontoma
Primordial odontogenic tumour
Ameloblastic fibroma
Dentinogenic ghost cell tumour
Benign mesenchymal odontogenic tumours
Odontogenic fibroma
Cementoblastoma
Cemento-ossifying fibroma
Odontogenic myxoma
Malignant odontogenic tumours
Sclerosing odontogenic carcinoma
Ameloblastic carcinoma
Clear cell odontogenic carcinoma
Ghost cell odontogenic carcinoma
Primary intraosseous carcinoma, NOS
Odontogenic carcinosarcoma
Odontogenic sarcomas
CYSTS OF THE JAWS
Radicular cyst
Inflammatory collateral cysts
Surgical ciliated cyst
Nasopalatine duct cyst
Gingival cysts
Dentigerous cyst
Orthokeratinised odontogenic cyst
Lateral periodontal cyst and botryoid odontogenic cyst

# Calcifying odontogenic cyst

Glandular odontogenic cyst

Odontogenic keratocyst

#### **ODONTOGENIC TUMOURS**

Table II highlights the essential diagnostic criteria along with age, gender, localization preference of all odontogenic tumors.

#### **Benign Epithelial Odontogenic Tumors**

Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor (AOT) has molecular updates and a detailed differential diagnostic section. It is emphasized that some odontogenic lesions, such as odontomas, adenoid ameloblastoma (new entity), adenomatoid odontogenic hamartoma, and adenomatoid dentinoma (the last two not being included in the 2022 classification) may contain AOT-like areas (5, 6), and conversely AOT can include calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor-like areas (7). To avoid misdiagnosis due to the histopathologic overlapping, detailed clinicradiologic evaluation is necessary as with all bone lesions. Regarding the molecular profile, *KRAS* mutations and MAPK pathway activation are the most common features of AOT that shows *KRAS* p.G12V and p.G12R mutations in about 70% of cases (8).

*Squamous odontogenic tumor* has no major changes from the previous edition.

Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor (CEOT) has relatively important changes in that three subtypes have been described as clear cell CEOT, cystic/microcystic CEOT, and non-calcifying/Langerhans cell-rich CEOT (1). In the previous edition, these different variants were explained in the histopathological and macroscopic features sections, but they were not included as separate subtypes (4). However, no clear differential diagnostic criteria are proposed to separate the non-calcifying/Langerhans cellrich CEOT from the amyloid-rich subtype of odontogenic fibroma. These are likely the same tumor but are classified as CEOT by some and as central odontogenic fibroma by others. However, most cases are more suitable for amyloidrich odontogenic fibroma in terms of morphological and molecular features (9). Although different mutations (PTCH1, ABMN, PTEN, CDKN2A, JAK3, MET) have been reported in studies for CEOT, none of them currently affect treatment and diagnosis (1).

*Ameloblastoma, Unicystic*, still has three subtypes according to the distribution of the proliferation of the ameloblastomatous epithelium: luminal, intraluminal and mural. There is general agreement that the first two subtypes can be treated conservatively, but the last one might need to be treated as ameloblastoma (10). The debate continues on whether the mural type is a type of conventional ameloblastoma or not. However, in the new and previous edition it was left under unicystic ameloblastoma. **Table II:** Age, gender, localization preferences, and essential diagnostic criteria of odontogenic tumors, modified from the 2022WHO classification (1).

Odontogenic Tumors	Age/Gender/Localization	Essential Diagnostic Criteria
Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor (Figure 1)	- 2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> decades - Female - Anterior maxilla - Pericoronal	<ul> <li>Site in alveolar processes of jaws</li> <li>Epithelial nodular structure</li> <li>Rosettes of spindled to columnar epithelial cells</li> <li>Duct-like structures</li> <li>Minimal stroma</li> </ul>
Squamous odontogenic tumor	<ul> <li>Mean age at diagnosis is 34.8</li> <li>No gender predilection</li> <li>Anterior maxilla and posterior mandible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site in tooth bearing areas of jaw</li> <li>Closely packed islands of cytologically bland epithelium</li> <li>Uniform squamous differentiation without significant keratinization</li> <li>No peripheral palisading and stellate reticulum</li> </ul>
Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor ( <i>Figure 2</i> )	- 4 <sup>th</sup> decade - No gender predilection - Body of the mandible	<ul> <li>Tooth-bearing areas of the jaws</li> <li>Sheets, islands and cords of polyhedral cells with distinct cell borders</li> <li>Very few or no mitoses</li> <li>Amyloid present</li> </ul>
Ameloblastoma, unicystic	- 2 <sup>nd</sup> decade - Slightly male - Posterior body of mandible and ramus	- Single cyst - Ameloblastoma-like epithelial lining
Ameloblastoma, extraosseous	<ul> <li>5<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup> decades</li> <li>Slightly male</li> <li>Soft tissue of mandibular premolar and maxillary molar regions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Site in gingiva or edentulous alveolar mucosa</li> <li>No intraosseous component</li> <li>Histopathologic features as conventional ameloblastoma</li> </ul>
Ameloblastoma, conventional (Figure 3)	<ul> <li>4<sup>th</sup>-5<sup>th</sup> decades</li> <li>No gender predilection</li> <li>Posterior molar site of mandible</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Islands/strands of odontogenic epithelium bounded by cuboidal/columnar cells with palisaded, hyperchromatic nuclei</li> <li>Reverse polarity</li> <li>Loose central epithelium resembling stellate reticulum</li> </ul>
Adenoid ameloblastoma (Figure 4)	- 4 <sup>th</sup> decade - Slightly male - No site predilection	- Ameloblastoma-like component; duct-like structures - Whorls/morules - Cribriform architecture
Metastasizing ameloblastoma	- A mean age 45 years - Slightly male - Primary tumor site: mandible - Metastatic site: lung	Both in primary tumor and metastatic tumor: - Benign conventional ameloblastoma - No cytological atypia or features of malignancy
Odontoma -Complex (CxO) -Compound (CdO)	<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>th</sup> decades</li> <li>No gender predilection</li> <li>Posterior body of the mandible for CxO</li> <li>Anterior maxilla for CdOs</li> </ul>	CxO: - Conglomerate mass of enamel and dentin CdO: - Multiple, small tooth-like structures
Primordial odontogenic tumor	- 1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> decades - Slightly male - Posterior mandible	- Mass of myxoid dental papilla-like tissue - Entire periphery covered by columnar or cuboidal enamel epithelium
Ameloblastic fibroma	- 1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> decades - Slightly male - Posterior mandible	<ul> <li>A well-defined and corticated radiolucency</li> <li>Bland hypercellular, dental papilla-like mesenchyme</li> <li>Dispersed bilaminar strands of cuboidal or columnar odontogenic epithelium</li> </ul>

Odontogenic Tumors	Age/Gender/Localization	Essential Diagnostic Criteria
Dentinogenic ghost	- 3 <sup>rd</sup> - 5 <sup>th</sup> decades	- Solid tumor
cell tumor	- Male	- Conventional ameloblastoma-like epithelium
	- Almost equally in the maxilla and	- Ghost cells
	mandible (posterior regions in both jaws)	- Dentinoid
Odontogenic fibroma	- A mean age of 34 years	- Site in tooth bearing segments of the jaws
	- Female	- A well-defined lesion radiologically
	- Slightly maxilla (anterior to the first	- Bland fibrous connective tissue of varying cellularity
	molar)	- Varying amounts of odontogenic epithelium
Cementoblastoma	- 2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> decades	- Mass fused to a tooth root
	- No gender predilection	- Densely mineralized
	- Posterior mandible (the apical third of	- Radiating peripheral matrix
	permanent first molar)	- Plump cementoblasts
		- No fibro-osseous component
Cemento-ossifying	- 3 <sup>rd</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> decades	- Site in tooth bearing region of jaws
fibroma	- Female	- Benign fibro-osseous histology
(Figure 5)	- Premolar and molar region of mandible	- Well demarcated
Odontogenic myxoma	- 2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> decades	- Site in tooth-bearing segments of jaws
(Figure 6A-B)	- Female	- Myxoid stroma with variable collagenization
	- Premolar or molar region of mandible	- Sparse stellate or spindle shaped cells
Sclerosing	- 5 <sup>th</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup> decades	- A poorly defined radiolucency
odontogenic	- Slightly female	- Thin cords and nests of epithelium
carcinoma	- Posterior mandible	- A dense, fibrocollagenous sclerotic stroma
Ameloblastic	- A median age of 49 years	- A poorly defined lesion radiologically
carcinoma	- Male	- Histological resemblance to ameloblastoma with
(Figure 7)	- Posterior mandible	cytological atypia
		- Features of malignancy
Clear cell odontogenic	- A mean age: 53 years	- Site in jaws and ill-defined radiolucency
carcinoma	- Female	- Prominent clear cell phenotype
	- Mandible (posterior body-lower ramus)	- Infiltrative margin
		- Exclusion of metastatic disease
Ghost cell	- 4 <sup>th</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup> decades	- Poorly demarcated lesion radiologically
odontogenic	- Male	- Ameloblastoma-like epithelium
carcinoma	- Maxilla	- Prominent ghost cells
		- Cytological evidence of malignancy
Primary intraosseous	- The mean age: 55-60 years	- Destructive central jaw lesion
carcinoma, NOS	- Male	- Absence of a communication with the surface mucosa
(Figure 8)	- Mandible (posterior body and ramus)	or antrum
		- Exclusion of metastatic disease
Odontogenic	- No age incidence	- Poorly demarcated lesion in tooth bearing segment
carcinosarcoma	- Male	- Carcinoma and sarcoma components
	- Posterior mandible	- Significant cytologic atypia in both components
		- Exclusion of spindle cell carcinoma.
Odontogenic	- The average age: upper 3 <sup>rd</sup> decade	- Origin in tooth bearing segment of jaws
sarcomas	- Male	- Mixed odontogenic neoplasm
	- Posterior mandible	- Cytologically bland epithelial component
		- Cytologically malignant ectomesenchymal component

# Table II (continued)



Figure 1: Adenomatoid odontogenic tumor. A) Axial CBCT view of right maxillary unerupted canine region showing well-defined lesion with visible internal mineralization (arrow). **B**) Macroscopic appearance of the same case; rounded masses showing a solid yellowish pattern on the cut surface. C) Tumor demonstrating a fibrous capsule with odontogenic epithelium in solid nodules (H&E; x40). **D**) At high power, duct-like structures and calcifications clearly seen (H&E; x200).

Figure 2: Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing well-defined radiolucency in the left body of the mandible (arrows). B) Epithelial sheets composed of polygonal cells with mild nuclear pleomorphism (H&E; x100). C) Islands of odontogenic epithelium with focal calcification and amyloid (H&E; x100). D) Congo red stain highlights the amyloid material that showed apple green birefringence with polarization microscopy-not illustrated (Congo Red; x100).

*BRAF p.V600E* mutations have been found in all types (11). The mural type seems to be somewhere in between conventional and unicystic ameloblastoma in terms of recurrence and might require consideration of more extensive surgery for aggressive and destructive lesions (12). In addition, *BRAF*-targeted therapy can be a consideration for the treatment of mutation-positive cases (13).

Ameloblastoma, Extraosseous/peripheral still has a separate entity status while other peripheral odontogenic lesions do not have such distinction, but this has not changed from previous editions. Peripheral ameloblastoma is explained in detail without any major changes from the previous edition.

*Ameloblastoma, Conventional,* the most common odontogenic neoplasm, excluding odontoma that is considered a hamartoma, is a benign but locally infiltrative neoplasm composed of ameloblast-like cells and stellate reticulum (1). In the 2017 edition, the genetic profile of ameloblastoma was updated broadly. *BRAF p.V600E* is the most common activating mutation, affecting the MAPK pathways, and is an early and critical event in the etiopathogenesis of ameloblastoma (14, 15). *BRAF* inhibitor therapy has been proposed for selective cases in the treatment (13, 16). It can be predicted that data on these target therapies will increase and will be included in the next classification.

Adenoid ameloblastoma (AA) is the only new entity added in the odontogenic lesions and it represents the most important change. It is defined as an epithelial odontogenic neoplasm composed of cribriform architecture and ductlike structures, and frequently includes dentinoid (Figure 5C-D). Approximately 40 cases have been reported in the literature so far (17). It usually presents as a painless swelling with an incidence peak in the 4th decade, and with slight male preference (5, 17). The essential diagnostic criteria have been described as an ameloblastoma-like component, duct-like structures, whorls/morules, and cribriform architecture, while dentinoid, clear cells, focal ghost-cell keratinization are reported as desirable features (1). Variable staining for CK14, CK19, p40, p16 and p53 has been reported (18). Ki-67 proliferation index is usually high and that can explain the local aggressive behavior with a high recurrence rate (45.5%-70%) (5, 17). Interestingly, in contrast to other intraosseous ameloblastomas, BRAF p.V600E mutations have not been found in AA. The lack of BRAF reactivity and the finding of nuclear  $\beta$  catenin reactivity in these tumors calls into question their relation to ameloblastoma and whether adenoid ameloblastoma is the best designation for this new tumor. The main differential diagnosis for AA includes AOT and DHGT but *Metastasizing ameloblastoma*, defined as an ameloblastoma that has metastasized despite its benign histopathological appearance (1), is still controversial in terms of its classification. This tumor, which was classified under the odontogenic carcinoma section in 2005 (19), was classified under the benign epithelial odontogenic tumors in 2017 (4), as well as in the current edition. The challenge is characterizing metastasizing ameloblastoma at the molecular level and whether its genotype is sufficiently distinct to allow metastasis despite its bland morphology histologically. The solution of the classification issue, which contradicts its name, seems to be left to the next classification.

# Benign Mixed Epithelial & Mesenchymal Odontogenic Tumors

Odontoma is now considered a hamartomatous odontogenic lesion with compound and complex types. The section has a very detailed discussion about ameloblastic fibroodontoma (AFO) and ameloblastic fibrodentinoma (AFD), which were excluded from the 2017 and current classification because most examples were presumed to represent developing odontomas. However, the presence of BRAF p.V600E mutations in AFD and AFO similar to ameloblastic fibroma, but different from odontoma, has supported the arguments that at least some of these lesions are in fact neoplastic, particularly those with a locally aggressive biological behavior, large size, and recurrence (20, 21). A recent study suggests that the combination of age and lesion size may be used to distinguish between lesions of a true neoplastic nature (i.e., AFO) and hamartomatous formation (i.e., OD) (22). On the other hand, it is obvious that we need further molecular and genetic specifications to better understand their true nature.

*Primordial odontogenic tumor*, a new entity to the 2017 classification does not have any significant changes due to its rarity.

*Ameloblastic fibroma* also has a detailed discussion about the relationship of AF with AFO, AFD, and odontoma in the histopathology and pathogenesis sections. Both odontoma and ameloblastic fibroma sections of the new edition have a discussion about AFO and AFD compared to previous editions, and this may indicate a need for further clarification of these lesions in the next classification.



Figure 3: Ameloblastoma. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a typical expansive, multilocular radiolucency (arrows). **B)** Follicular pattern; islands where peripheral cells show hyperchromatic nuclei in a palisading pattern, reserve polarity and looser stellate reticulum-like or squamous change in the center (H&E; x200). C) Plexiform pattern; anastomosing cords and strands of epithelium (H&E; x100). D) Desmoplastic pattern; epithelial islands in dense stroma (H&E; x100).

Figure 4: Adenoid ameloblastoma. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing radiolucent and unilocular lesion with well-defined boundaries (arrows). B) Axial CBCT view of the right posterior mandible and ramus showing cortical perforation. C) Characteristic cribriform architecture with pseudocysts, duct-like structures and whorls (H&E; x40). **D**) Duct-like clear cells associated with dentinoid matrix (H&E; x200).

*Dentinogenic ghost cell tumor* is a rare benign odontogenic tumor, which kept its entity status without any important updates.

#### Benign Mesenchymal Odontogenic Tumors

*Odontogenic fibroma* now clearly has subtypes; amyloid subtype, granular cell subtype, ossifying subtype, and hybrid odontogenic fibroma with central giant cell granuloma (1). Amyloid type characterized by amyloid deposits with Langerhans cells is a well-known entity but a controversial tumor as pointed out earlier in CEOT and needs to be classified as a CEOT or odontogenic fibroma, not both.

*Cementoblastoma* now has some molecular updates that it shows c-FOS overexpression and harbors the same FOS rearrangement (23) as osteoblastoma, a histologic mimicker. This raises the question of cementoblastoma being a unique odontogenic tumor or a bone neoplasm simply within the spectrum of osteoid osteoma and osteoblastoma.

*Cemento-ossifying fibroma (COF)* was classified under mesenchymal odontogenic tumors in the 2017 classification for the first time but discussed in detail with the other ossifying fibromas in the fibro-osseous lesions section (4). While COF has always been a benign fibro-osseous lesion as well as an odontogenic neoplasm, it is now defined and updated under the odontogenic tumor section. A variety of infrequent molecular alterations have been reported in COF but no pathogenic alterations were identified when 50 oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes were examined by NGS (24).

*Odontogenic myxoma* (OM) has some updates in its pathogenesis that shows MAPK/ERK pathway activation, and this pathway inhibition may have the potential to reduce tumor growth (25). It is worth emphasizing, as the previous edition did, that the most important differential diagnosis of OM is the dental papilla of a developing tooth or a normal/hyperplastic dental follicle that is almost identical histologically to OM (Figure 6C-D), but familiarity with these anatomic structures and the clinical and radiologic features will avoid misdiagnosis (Figure 6).

#### Malignant Odontogenic Tumors

There are not many significant differences between the last two editions of the Blue Book in terms of histopathological description and classification of malignant odontogenic tumors. Due to the lack of defined UICC staging guidance, the use of International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting minimum data set reporting is encouraged for all malignant odontogenic tumors (26). *Sclerosing odontogenic carcinoma* is a very rare odontogenic carcinoma that was added to the classification in 2017 (4). Due to the rarity of cases, there are no molecular updates or developments. As a 'minor' change, not having the potential for metastasis was added to the definition of SOC, which was characterized by bland cytology, markedly sclerotic stroma and locally aggressive infiltration (1). Because the original publication (27) suggested and the WHO now concurs that this neoplasm has "no metastatic potential", should it be categorized as a carcinoma?

*Ameloblastic carcinoma* has a definition change from the previous edition that was accepted and described as a malignant counterpart of ameloblastoma (1). Now, it is considered a primary odontogenic carcinoma histologically resembling ameloblastoma. *BRAF p.V600E* mutations, the most common activating mutation in conventional ameloblastoma, have been reported in AC (28) but it has no defined diagnostic value yet.

*Clear cell odontogenic carcinoma* has no significant changes. It is well known that more than 80% of cases harbor a translocation involving *EWSR1* and *ATF1* (29), a common pathogenesis also of hyalinizing clear cell carcinoma (30). The differential diagnosis is broad and includes almost all clear cell rich tumors, including odontogenic tumors, salivary gland tumors, and metastatic tumors, particularly renal cell carcinoma. Distinction may require IHC/ molecular studies in some challenging cases.

*Ghost cell odontogenic carcinoma* has limited update on molecular aspects because of the rarity of the tumor. In the new edition, a single case-documented mutation of *CTNNB1* ( $\beta$ -catenin) (31) has been added to the previous molecular profile including multiple changes in the SHH signaling pathway, and a novel *APC* mutation (32).

*Primary intraosseous carcinoma-NOS* is usually squamous carcinoma with variable differentiation, but mostly moderately. A recent systemic review found that the majority arise from odontogenic cysts, more commonly residual and radicular cysts and less often dentigerous and odontogenickeratocysts (33). This diagnosis should be made carefully after excluding other malignant odontogenic and intraosseous salivary gland tumors, metastatic lesions, and carcinomas invading bone from other anatomic structures.

*Odontogenic carcinosarcoma* is an extremely rare malignant odontogenic tumor containing both malignant epithelial and mesenchymal components that require very careful examination. Any sarcomatoid change in a malignant epithelial odontogenic tumor should be evaluated carefully to avoid misdiagnosis of a spindle cell odontogenic carcinoma (34).



Figure 5: Cemento-ossifying fibroma. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a well-defined, expansile radiolucency in the posterior mandible (arrows). B) Coronal CBCT view showing the expansion and displacement of the inferior mandibular canal (arrow). **C**) COF is a prototype benign fibro-osseous jaw lesion. The matrix produced can be trabecular with cellular inclusions and osteoblastic rimming like bone (H&E; x200) or D) COF often contains smaller rounder and acellular matrix similar to cementum (H&E; x200).

myxoma (A-B) vs. Dental follicle (C-D). A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing the characteristics straight criss-crossing bony septa (arrows). **B**) Odontogenic myxoma; Loose myxoid tissue stroma with scattered spindle and stellate cells (H&E; x200). C) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing small radiolucency around the unerupted second premolar tooth (arrow). D) Please note the histopathologic similarity with B; there are also some rests of odontogenic epithelium that can also be seen in odontogenic myxoma



Figure 7: Ameloblastic carcinoma. A) Axial
CBCT view showing
marked expansion, cortical destruction and soft tissue extension (arrows).
B) Follicular growth where the tumor islands resemble those of ameloblastoma (H&E; x100). C) Neoplastic cells displaying significant cytologic atypia (H&E; x200). D) Marked atypia, dyskeratosis and clear cell change (H&E; x200).

Figure 8: Primary intraosseous carcinoma-NOS arising from a keratinized odontogenic cyst. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing illdefined radiolucency in the left mandibular ramus (arrows). **B)** Low power shows the architectural features of a cyst (H&E; x200). C) Higher powers show an invasive component with dyskeratosis (H&E; x200). **D)** The invasive component with cytologic features of malignancy (H&E; 400).

*Odontogenic sarcomas* are a group of malignant odontogenic tumors; ameloblastic fibrosarcoma, ameloblastic fibrodentinosarcoma, ameloblastic fibro-odontosarcoma. At least 24% of ameloblastic fibrosarcomas arise in benign AF or recurrent AF (35). Dentin/dentinoid with or without enamel/enameloid matrix is produced in approximately 10% of cases and designated as ameloblastic fibro-dentinosarcoma and ameloblastic fibro-odontosarcoma, respectively (1).

Odontogenic carcinoma with dentinoid (36), is reported but not included as a separate entity in the 2022 classification. It is mentioned frequently in the differential diagnosis of other odontogenic tumors and its justification and placement in the classification remains controversial but an area in need of clarification.

# **CYSTS OF THE JAWS**

Table III highlights the essential diagnostic criteria along with age, gender, and localization preference of all odontogenic cysts, surgical ciliated cyst and nasopalatine duct cyst. In the 2017 classification, the cysts of the jaws were divided into two primary parts; odontogenic cysts of inflammatory origin and odontogenic/non-odontogenic developmental cysts (4). Now, in the 2022 classification, the umbrella term of 'cysts of the jaws' has been used without any subdivision. However, here we prefer to discuss them under the subheadings of *inflammatory odontogenic cysts, developmental odontogenic cysts*, and *other cysts of the jaws* for greater clarity and to emphasize their origin.

# Inflammatory Odontogenic Cysts

*Radicular cyst* (RC) is still the most common cyst of the jaws and accounts for about 60% of all odontogenic cysts (37). RCs arise from periradicular inflammation secondary to the spread of odontogenic infection resulting from tooth devitalization. Residual cyst, which was clearly mentioned as a subtype of RC, is found as a well-defined radiolucency at a site of previous tooth extraction when the RC was not removed when the offending tooth was extracted. Lateral RC terminology of 2017 has been abandoned and only mentioned as RC that can be located on the lateral aspect of the root associated with a lateral root canal (1, 4).

*Inflammatory collateral cysts* have no major changes and continue with two distinct subtypes as paradental cyst and mandibular buccal bifurcation cyst. The histology is not specific, and indistinguishable from RC features.

# **Developmental Odontogenic Cysts**

*Gingival cysts* (adult and infant types), *dentigerous cyst* (eruption cyst, a superficial subtype of dentigerous cyst over an erupting tooth), *orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst*, *lateral periodontal cyst*, and *botryoid odontogenic cyst* continue in the 2022 classification without important changes from the previous edition. Regarding molecular updates, *BRAF p.V600E* mutations found in ameloblastomas have not been found in dentigerous cysts (38).

Calcifying odontogenic cyst (COC) has continued in the cyst classification with an important change in the definition that also affects the diagnostic criteria. In the definition of the 2017 classification, 'ameloblastoma-like epithelium' was excluded and COC is now defined as "a developmental odontogenic cyst characterized histologically by ghost cells, which often calcify." While most COCs still have ameloblastoma-like epithelium, that feature was moved from an essential feature to a desired one (39). Mutations of *CTNNB1* which encodes  $\beta$ -catenin has been added to the COC pathogenesis (40).

Glandular odontogenic cyst (GOC) also has some differences from the fourth edition in terms of diagnostic histopathologic features. In 2017, ten different histopathologic features were described and observation of at least seven criteria was suggested to make a GOC diagnosis (4, 41). The new edition emphasized that even if characteristic, not all features are present in all cases but more features provide more confidence in the diagnosis (1). Among these features, the essential criterion is presence of a lining epithelium with varying thickness, but it was stated that hobnail cells are observed in almost all cases and seem to be the most characteristic feature. It was also emphasized in this classification that the most important differential diagnosis of GOC is intraosseous mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Demonstrating the MAML2 rearrangement for intraosseous MEC is important in the differential diagnosis of these two lesions (42). That is still generally accepted; however, one GOC case has been reported with MAML2 rearrangement (43). It is believed that this situation needs further studies.

*Odontogenic keratocyst* (OKC) is the most frequently researched cyst due to high recurrence rate, aggressive clinical behavior, and association with the nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. In the 2022 edition, OKC continues in the cyst classification and has the longest section among the cysts of the jaw. There is extensive literature characterizing the molecular landscape of OKC. Most show mutations of the tumor suppressor gene *PTCH 1*, but rarely *PTCH2* or *SUNU* (44-46).

Cysts of the Jaws	Age/Gender/Localization	Essential Diagnostic Criteria
Radicular cyst - Residual cyst ( <i>Figure 9</i> )	- 4 <sup>th</sup> -5 <sup>th</sup> decades - Slightly male - Anterior maxilla	- Non-vital tooth for radicular cyst - Edentulous area for residual cyst - Non-keratinized stratified squamous lining epithelium
Inflammatory collateral cysts - Paradental cyst (PC) - Mandibular buccal bifurcation cyst (MBBC)	<ul> <li>4<sup>th</sup> decades for PC</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup>-2<sup>nd</sup> decades for MBBC</li> <li>Male</li> <li>Mandibular third molars for PC</li> <li>Buccal aspect of mandibular first or second molars for MBBC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Associated with partially or recently erupted vital tooth</li> <li>Radiolucency distinct from dental follicle</li> <li>Intact lamina dura</li> <li>Non-keratinized stratified squamous cyst epithelium</li> </ul>
Gingival cysts - Adult type - Infant type	<ul> <li>5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> decades for adults</li> <li>Neonates for infants</li> <li>No gender predilection</li> <li>Gingiva of mandibular premolar/ canine region for adult type</li> <li>Anywhere on the edentulous alveolar ridge for infant type</li> </ul>	Adults: - Site in attached gingiva - Thin epithelial lining Infants: - Site in alveolar ridge - Less than 3 months (age)
Dentigerous cyst	- 2 <sup>nd</sup> -3 <sup>rd</sup> decades - Male - Third molars	<ul> <li>Well-defined radiolucency associated with the crown of an unerupted tooth</li> <li>Cyst attached to the cementoenamel junction</li> <li>Non keratinized stratified squamous lining epithelium without palisaded basal cells</li> </ul>
Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst ( <i>Figure 10A-B</i> )	- 3 <sup>th</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> decades - Male - Mandible (angle-ramus region)	<ul> <li>Tooth bearing areas of jaw</li> <li>Epithelial lining with orthokeratinization</li> </ul>
Lateral periodontal cyst/ Botryoid odontogenic cyst	- 5 <sup>th</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup> decades - Male - Canine/premolar region of mandible	<ul> <li>Site on the lateral aspect or between the roots of vital erupted teeth, mandibular cuspid/premolar</li> <li>Characteristic whorled epithelial plaques</li> <li>Multilocularity for botryoid odontogenic cyst</li> </ul>
Calcifying odontogenic cyst ( <i>Figure 11</i> )	<ul> <li>- 2<sup>nd</sup>-3<sup>rd</sup> decades</li> <li>- No gender predilection</li> <li>- Almost equally in the maxilla (strong predilection for the anterior) and mandible</li> </ul>	- Cystic architecture - Numerous ghost cells
Glandular odontogenic cyst ( <i>Figure 12</i> )	- 5 <sup>th</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup> decades - No gender predilection - Anterior mandible	<ul> <li>Radiolucent cystic lesion of tooth-bearing area of the jaw</li> <li>Epithelial lining of variable thickness (epithelial thickenings, plaques or papillary projections)</li> </ul>
Odontogenic keratocyst (Figure 10C-D)	<ul> <li>- 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> decades; a second smaller peak in the elderly</li> <li>- Slightly male</li> <li>- Posterior mandible and ramus</li> </ul>	- Site in jaws - Parakeratinized epithelial lining - Palisaded hyperchromatic basal cells
Surgical ciliated cyst ( <i>Figure 13</i> )	- 5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> decades - No gender predilection - Posterior maxilla	- A history of previous surgery - Radiolucent well demarcated cyst - Respiratory epithelial lining
Nasopalatine duct cyst	- 4 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> decades - Male - Midline of the anterior hard palate	<ul> <li>Epicenter at incisive canal (size greater than 6mm)</li> <li>Lining of non-keratinized squamous or respiratory epithelium</li> </ul>

**Table III:** Age, gender, localization preferences, and essential diagnostic criteria of jaw cysts, modified from the 2022 WHO classification (1).



A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a well-defined, corticated unilocular radiolucency at the apices of endodontically treated teeth (arrow). B) Lining by nonkeratinized stratified squamous epithelium with epithelial hyperplasia in a characteristic arcading pattern. Cyst wall is inflamed (H&E; x100). C) Cropped panoramic radiograph of residual cyst showing a wellcircumscribed, corticated unilocular radiolucency in an edentulous area of the left mandible (arrow). D) Residual (or longstanding) cyst showing less inflamed wall and a more regular thin epithelium

Figure 10: Orthokeratinized odontogenic cyst (A-B) vs. Odontogenic keratocyst

**A)** Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a wellcircumscribed unilocular radiolucency associated with an unerupted third molar (arrows). B) OOC is lined by a uniform stratified squamous epithelium with orthokeratosis, prominent granular cell layer and bland, unpalisaded basal cells (H&E; x200). **C**) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a multilocular radiolucency of the left mandibular body and ramus (arrows). D) OKC is lined by a uniform stratified squamous epithelium with a corrugated surface of parakeratin and palisaded and hyperchromatic basal cells (H&E; x200).



Figure 11: Calcifying odontogenic cyst. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing welldefined, unilocular, mixed radiolucent/radiopaque lesion with distinct cortical expansion of the left posterior mandible and ramus (arrows).

B) Low power shows a cystic architecture with prominent eosinophilic, polyhedral cells (ghost cells). (H&E; x200). C) Focus of ghost cells, some of which show calcification (H&E; x200).
D) Characteristic ghost cells where the nucleus is lost but cytoplasmic outlines are maintained (H&E; 400).

Figure 12: Glandular odontogenic cyst. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a large well circumscribed unilocular radiolucency of the right maxilla (arrows). B) Axical CBCT view showing significant cortical expansion (arrow). C) Cyst lining of variable thickness with enlarged, eosinophilic hobnail cells on the luminal surface (H&E; x100). D) Hobnail luminal cells with mucous cells and occasional clear cells (H&E; x200).

These mutations have fueled the continued spirited debate about whether OKC is a cyst or a cystic neoplasm. In 2005, OKC was changed to a cystic neoplasm and designated a keratocystic odontogenic tumor (19). It was moved back into the cyst category in 2017 (4) and continues as a cyst in the 5th current classification. The debate continues.

#### Other Cysts of the Jaws

*Surgical ciliated cyst*, not a new entity but new to the classification, is a rare non-odontogenic cyst lined by respiratory epithelium as a result of the traumatic implantation of sinus or nasal mucosa. Surgical ciliated cyst of the maxilla, postoperative maxillary cyst or (respiratory) implantation cyst are other terms used for this entity. The most common age range is the 5<sup>th</sup> to 6<sup>th</sup> decades with no gender predilection (47). As the definition indicates, it occurs most commonly in the posterior maxilla; but very rarely in the mandible due to implantation of sinus epithelium by contaminated instruments or using nasal bone or cartilage with epithelium for augmentation genioplasty (47, 48). Histopathologically, the cyst is lined by ciliated pseudostratified columnar epithelium and mucous

cells are common (Figure 13B-D). A history of previous surgery is an essential criterion for diagnosis. Treatment is simple enucleation and recurrence is rare.

*Nasopalatine duct cyst* is the most common nonodontogenic cyst of the jaws (about 80%) (37, 49). The cyst is lined by non-keratinized squamous epithelium or respiratory epithelium in variable proportion with focal areas of cuboidal, columnar, or ciliated changes. Generally, the neurovascular bundle is seen in the wall of the cyst, but this is a feature of sectioning and this feature is included in the desirable diagnostic criteria (1).

In conclusion, the new 2022 WHO classification of odontogenic tumors and jaw cysts includes some modifications and developments that we briefly summarized. It is hoped that this summary becomes a resource for readers to find recent changes and critical diagnostic information. Lastly, rapid developments in technology and molecular fields signal that the time between the editions of WHO classification of Head and Neck Tumours (1<sup>st</sup> 1971, 2<sup>nd</sup> 1992, 3<sup>rd</sup> 2005, 4<sup>th</sup> 2017 and 5<sup>th</sup> 2022) will become shorter, and new classifications seem inevitable in the next 5 years.



Figure 13: Surgical ciliated cyst. A) Cropped panoramic radiograph showing a welldemarcated unilocular radiolucency of the left maxilla with a history of traumatic tooth extraction (arrow). **B**) The cyst lined entirely by respiratory epithelium (H&E; x100). C) Intra-operative view of the case located right site of maxilla. D) This case shows hyperplastic pseudostratified ciliated columnar epithelium with mucous cells and inflamed cyst wall (H&E; x200). Awareness of this entity prevents misdiagnosis.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. WHO Classification of Tumours Editorial Board. Head and neck tumours [Internet; beta version ahead of print]. Lyon (France): International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2022. (WHO classification of tumours series, 5th ed.; vol. 9). Available from: https://tumourclassification.iarc.who.int/chapters/52.
- 2. Vered M, Wright JM. Update from the 5th Edition of the World Health Organization classification of head and neck tumors: odontogenic and maxillofacial bone tumours. Head Neck Pathol. 2022;16:63-75.
- 3. Soluk-Tekkeşin M, Wright JM. The World Health Organization classification of odontogenic lesions: A summary of the changes of the 2017 (4th) edition. Turk Patoloji Derg. 2018;34(1).
- Odontogenic and maxilofacial bone tumours. In: El-naggar ak, Chan JkC, Grandis Jr, Takata T, Slootweg P, editors. WHO classification of head and neck tumours. 4th ed. lyon: IARC; 2017. 205-60.
- Loyola AM, Cardoso SV, de Faria PR, Servato JP, Eisenberg AL, Dias FL, Thavaraj S, Gomes CC, Gomez RS. Adenoid ameloblastoma: Clinicopathologic description of five cases and systematic review of the current knowledge. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2015;120:368-77.
- Vargas PA, Carlos-Bregni R, Mosqueda-Taylor A, Cuairan-Ruidíaz V, Lopes MA, de Almeida OP. Adenomatoid dentinoma or adenomatoid odontogenic hamartoma: What is the better term to denominate this uncommon odontogenic lesion? Oral Dis. 2006;12:200-3.
- Mosqueda-Taylor A, Carlos-Bregni R, Ledesma-Montes C, Fillipi RZ, de Almeida OP, Vargas PA. Calcifying epithelial odontogenic tumor-like areas are common findings in adenomatoid odontogenic tumors and not a specific entity. Oral Oncol. 2005;41:214-5.
- Coura BP, Bernardes VF, de Sousa SF, França JA, Pereira NB, Pontes HAR, Batista AC, da Cruz Perez DE, Albuquerque Junior RLC, de Souza LB, Martins MD, Diniz MG, Gomez RS, Gomes CC. KRAS mutations drive adenomatoid odontogenic tumor and are independent of clinicopathological features. Mod Pathol. 2019;32:799-806.
- 9. Zhou CX, Li TJ. A clinicopathologic study on central odontogenic fibroma: With special reference to amyloid variant. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2018;126:513-20.
- 10. Philipsen HP, Reichart PA. Unicystic ameloblastoma. A review of 193 cases from the literature. Oral Oncol. 1998;34:317-25.
- Heikinheimo K, Huhtala JM, Thiel A, Kurppa KJ, Heikinheimo H, Kovac M, Kragelund C, Warfvinge G, Dawson H, Elenius K, Ristimäki A, Baumhoer D, Morgan PR. The mutational profile of unicystic ameloblastoma. J Dent Res. 2019;98:54-60.
- Zlotogorski-Hurvitz A, Soluk Tekkeşin M, Passador-Santos F, Martins Montalli VA, Salo T, Mauramo M, Kats L, Buchner A, Vered M. Conceptual changes in ameloblastoma: Suggested reclassification of a "veteran" tumor. Oral Dis. 2022;28:703-10.

- Hirschhorn A, Campino GA, Vered M, Greenberg G, Yacobi R, Yahalom R, Barshack I, Toren A, Amariglio N, Rechavi G. Upfront rational therapy in BRAF V600E mutated pediatric ameloblastoma promotes ad integrum mandibular regeneration. J Tissue Eng Regen Med. 2021;15:1155-61.
- Brown NA, Betz BL. Ameloblastoma: A review of recent molecular pathogenetic discoveries. Biomark Cancer. 2015;7(Suppl 2):19-24.
- Kurppa KJ, Catón J, Morgan PR, Ristimäki A, Ruhin B, Kellokoski J, Elenius K, Heikinheimo K. High frequency of BRAF V600E mutations in ameloblastoma. J Pathol. 2014;232:492-8.
- Kaye FJ, Ivey AM, Drane WE, Mendenhall WM, Allan RW. Clinical and radiographic response with combined BRAFtargeted therapy in stage 4 ameloblastoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2014;107:378.
- 17. Jayasooriya PR, Abeyasinghe WAMUL, Liyanage RLPR, Uthpali GN, Tilakaratne WM. Diagnostic enigma of adenoid ameloblastoma: Literature review based evidence to consider it as a new sub type of ameloblastoma. Head Neck Pathol. 2021 Jul 19.
- Adorno-Farias D, Muniz VRVM, Soares AP, Cury PR, Rabelo RG, Fernández-Ramires R, de Azevedo RA, Dos Santos JN. Ameloblastoma with adenoid features: A series of eight cases. Acta Histochem. 2018;120:468-76.
- Odontogenic tumors. In: Barnes L, Eveson J, Reichart P, Sidransky D, editors. World Health Organization classification. Pathology and genetics of head and neck tumors. 3rd ed. lyon: IarC Press; 2005. 283-327.
- 20. Coura BP, Bernardes VF, de Sousa SF, Diniz MG, Moreira RG, de Andrade BAB, Romañach MJ, Pontes HAR, Gomez RS, Odell EW, Gomes CC. Targeted next-generation sequencing and allelespecific quantitative PCR of laser capture microdissected samples uncover molecular differences in mixed odontogenic tumors. J Mol Diagn. 2020;22:1393-9.
- Kirjavainen A, Tuovinen V, Sándor GK. Large ameloblastic fibro-odontoma in a 7-year-old girl with analysis of 108 cases. Ann Maxillofac Surg. 2016;6:15-20.
- 22. Soluk-Tekkesin M, Vered M. Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma: At the crossroad between "developing odontoma" and true odontogenic tumour. Head Neck Pathol. 2021;15:1202-11.
- 23. Lam SW, Cleven AHG, Briaire-de Bruijn IH, Schreuder WH, Kroon HM, Savci-Heijink DC, Suurmeijer AJH, Szuhai K, Bovée JVMG, Baumhoer D. FOS rearrangement and expression in cementoblastoma. Am J Surg Pathol. 2021;45:690-3.
- 24. Pereira TDSF, Diniz MG, França JA, Moreira RG, Menezes GHF, Sousa SF, Castro WH, Gomes CC, Gomez RS. The Wnt/β-catenin pathway is deregulated in cemento-ossifying fibromas. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2018;125:172-8.
- 25. Pereira NB, Bastos VC, de Souza JC, Diniz MG, Vitório JG, Kitten GT, de Oliveira Andrade L, de Avelar GF, Castro WH, Bernardes VF, Dias AAM, Gomez RS, Gomes CC. First insights for targeted therapies in odontogenic myxoma. Clin Oral Investig. 2020;24:2451-8.
- 26. Slootweg PJ, Odell EW, Baumhoer D, Carlos R, Hunter KD, Taylor AM, Richardson MS, Slater L, Speight PM, Wright J, Thompson LDR. Data set for the reporting of malignant odontogenic tumors: Explanations and recommendations of the guidelines from the International Collaboration on Cancer Reporting. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2019;143:587-92.

- 27. Koutlas IG, Allen CM, Warnock GR, Manivel JC. Sclerosing odontogenic carcinoma: A previously unreported variant of a locally aggressive odontogenic neoplasm without apparent metastatic potential. Am J Surg Pathol. 2008;32:1613-9.
- Niu Z, Li Y, Chen W, Zhao J, Zheng H, Deng Q, Zha Z, Zhu H, Sun Q, Su L. Study on clinical and biological characteristics of ameloblastic carcinoma. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020;15:316.
- Bilodeau EA, Weinreb I, Antonescu CR, Zhang L, Dacic S, Muller S, Barker B, Seethala RR. Clear cell odontogenic carcinomas show EWSR1 rearrangements: A novel finding and a biological link to salivary clear cell carcinomas. Am J Surg Pathol. 2013;37:1001-5.
- 30. Antonescu CR, Katabi N, Zhang L, Sung YS, Seethala RR, Jordan RC, Perez-Ordoñez B, Have C, Asa SL, Leong IT, Bradley G, Klieb H, Weinreb I. EWSR1-ATF1 fusion is a novel and consistent finding in hyalinizing clear-cell carcinoma of salivary gland. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2011;50:559-70.
- Rappaport MJ, Showell DL, Edenfield WJ. Metastatic ghost cell odontogenic carcinoma: Description of a case and search for actionable targets. Rare Tumors. 2015;7:5813.
- 32. Bose P, Pleasance ED, Jones M, Shen Y, Ch'ng C, Reisle C, Schein JE, Mungall AJ, Moore R, Ma Y, Sheffield BS, Thomson T, Rasmussen S, Ng T, Yip S, Lee CW, Ho C, Laskin J, Marra MA, Jones SJ. Integrative genomic analysis of ghost cell odontogenic carcinoma. Oral Oncol. 2015;51:e71-5.
- 33. de Morais EF, Carlan LM, de Farias Morais HG, Pinheiro JC, Martins HDD, Barboza CAG, de Almeida Freitas R. Primary intraosseous squamous cell carcinoma involving the jaw bones: A systematic review and update. Head Neck Pathol. 2021;15:608-16.
- 34. McLean-Holden AC, Bishop JA, Kessler HP, Myers LL, Radwan AM, Wildey TC, Wright JM, Cheng YL. Spindle-cell variant of ameloblastic carcinoma: A report of 3 cases and demonstration of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in tumor progression. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol. 2019;128:e113-e121.
- Chrcanovic BR, Brennan PA, Rahimi S, Gomez RS. Ameloblastic fibroma and ameloblastic fibrosarcoma: A systematic review. J Oral Pathol Med. 2018;47:315-25.
- Mosqueda-Taylor A, Neville BW, Tatemoto Y, Ogawa I, Takata T. Odontogenic carcinoma with dentinoid: A new odontogenic carcinoma. Head Neck Pathol. 2014;8:421-31.
- Tekkesin MS, Olgac V, Aksakalli N, Alatli C. Odontogenic and nonodontogenic cysts in Istanbul: Analysis of 5088 cases. Head Neck. 2012;34:852-5.
- Pereira NB, Pereira KM, Coura BP, Diniz MG, de Castro WH, Gomes CC, Gomez RS. BRAFV600E mutation in the diagnosis of unicystic ameloblastoma. J Oral Pathol Med. 2016;45:780-5.

- 39. Nel C, Robinson L, Roza ALOC, Vargas PA, Nortjé CJ, van Heerden WF. Calcifying odontogenic cysts: A 20-year retrospective clinical and radiological review. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2021;50:20200586.
- 40. Yukimori A, Oikawa Y, Morita KI, Nguyen CT, Harada H, Yamaguchi S, Kayamori K, Yamaguchi A, Ikeda T, Sakamoto K. Genetic basis of calcifying cystic odontogenic tumors. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0180224.
- 41. Fowler CB, Brannon RB, Kessler HP, Castle JT, Kahn MA. Glandular odontogenic cyst: Analysis of 46 cases with special emphasis on microscopic criteria for diagnosis. Head Neck Pathol. 2011;5:364-75.
- 42. Bishop JA, Yonescu R, Batista D, Warnock GR, Westra WH. Glandular odontogenic cysts (GOCs) lack MAML2 rearrangements: A finding to discredit the putative nature of GOC as a precursor to central mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Head Neck Pathol. 2014;8:287-90.
- 43. Greer RO, Eskendri J, Freedman P, Ahmadian M, Murakami-Walter A, Varella-Garcia M. Assessment of biologically aggressive, recurrent glandular odontogenic cysts for mastermind-like 2 (MAML2) rearrangements: Histopathologic and fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) findings in 11 cases. J Oral Pathol Med. 2018;47:192-7.
- 44. Li TJ. The odontogenic keratocyst: A cyst, or a cystic neoplasm? J Dent Res. 2011;90:133-42.
- 45. Qu J, Yu F, Hong Y, Guo Y, Sun L, Li X, Zhang J, Zhang H, Shi R, Chen F, Li T. Underestimated PTCH1 mutation rate in sporadic keratocystic odontogenic tumors. Oral Oncol. 2015;51:40-5.
- 46. Qu J, Zhang J, Zhang H, Li X, Hong Y, Zhai J, Wang Y, Chen F, Li T. PTCH1 alterations are frequent but other genetic alterations are rare in sporadic odontogenic keratocysts. Oral Dis. 2019;25:1600-7.
- 47. Kahn A, Matalon S, Bassam Salem R, Kats L, Chaushu L, Vered M, Rosen E. Sinus floor augmentation—associated surgical ciliated cysts: Case series and a systematic review of the literature. Appl Sci. 2021;11:1903.
- Bourgeois SL Jr, Nelson BL. Surgical ciliated cyst of the mandible secondary to simultaneous Le Fort I osteotomy and genioplasty: Report of case and review of the literature. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2005;100:36-9.
- 49. Daley TD, Wysocki GP, Pringle GA. Relative incidence of odontogenic tumors and oral and jaw cysts in a Canadian population. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1994;77:276-80.